		UNIT	ED STAT	ES DISTRIC	T Cour	T S	U.S. DISTR	.ED artital
			D	District of		Nebr	askaDISTRICT D	E HERE
		UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	² A				2010 AUG 26	PM 2:
		V.		ORDER	OF DETEN	NTION	PENDING TR	JAL
_		TIMOTHY M. FELTON Defendant		Case Numbe			OFFICE OF	
det	In a	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 Un of the defendant pending trial in this case	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a se.	a detention hearing has b	een held. I cond	clude that	t the following facts re	equire the
				-Findings of Fact				
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense or local offense that would have been a factorial a crime of violence as defined in 18 an offense for which the maximum so an offense for which a maximum term.	federal offense if a U.S.C. § 3156(a)(sentence is life imp	a circumstance giving ris (4). prisonment or death.	e to federal juris	ted of a sdiction h	☐ federal offense and existed that is	state
		a felony that was committed after the	e defendant had be	een convicted of two or	nore prior feder	al offens	es described in 19 II S	·*
		§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable			nore prior react	ui ollella	es described in 18 O.S	5.C .
	(2)		committed while	the defendant was on re				
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1).	elapsed since the	☐ date of conviction	☐release of	f the defe	ndant from imprisonm	ıent
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a safety of (an) other person(s) and the cor	a rebuttable presun mmunity. I furthe	nption that no condition r find that the defendant	or combination has not rebutted	of condit this pres	tions will reasonably a sumption.	ssure the
				native Findings (A)				
X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.								
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	sonment of ten yea	ars or more is prescribed	in <u>21 U.S.C.</u>	Sec. 801	et seq.	 ,
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presur the appearance of the defendant as required the appearance of the defendant as required to	nption established red and the safety	by finding 1 that no conor of the community.	dition or combin	nation of c	conditions will reasons	ably assure
				native Findings (B)				
 (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. 								
		Part I	I—Written Sta	tement of Reasons fo	r Detention			
	I fin	d that the credible testimony and informat				nd convir	ncing evidence \(\square a	nrenon-
dera		of the evidence that			/ /-		ionig ovidence 🗀 a	propon-
			(1)		NAAACIA			
	<u> </u>	A W Wetarrid	uller is	I Siliaxid.	AN BURDAN	AMALL	And While	1600
		1.2010.	Tes Kee India	again fr		TVICK	I ON STALLER	<u>rans</u>
			 					
reas Gov	he ex onab ernm	defendant is committed to the custody of the tent practicable, from persons awaiting of the opportunity for private consultation with the person in charge of the correction with a court proceeding.	ne Attorney Genera or serving sentence ith defense counse	es or being held in custo el. On order of a court	entative for control of pending apport the United St	peal. The	e defendant shall be a	offorded a
		August 26, 2010		51.6	hervl D Zwo-	AL	<i>2</i>	
		Date		Signat	Cheryl R. Zwart ure of Judicial C	Officer		
					vart, U.S. Magis		lge	
		•	Name and Title of Judicial Officer					

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).